



COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/216,985	12/21/98	HONARVAR		L	1330.1010
<b>-</b>			コ	EXAMINER	
TM02/1003 STAAS % HALSEY				CHEE.N	ri
	H STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON	DC 20001			2167 DATE MAILED:	q
					10/03/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/216,985

Applicant(s)

Honarvar

Examiner

**Michael Cuff** 

Art Unit 2167



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Dec 21, 1998 2b) This action is non-final. 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) 💢 Claim(s) 1-28 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 6) X Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) Claims Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved. 12)  $\square$  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) All b) Some\* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) 🔀 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 20) Other: 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Ápplication/Control Number: 09/216,985 Page 2

Art Unit: 2167

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claims 1, 23 and 28 recite an evaluation of the customer and their accounts. Applicant's disclosure skips the process of how the customer evaluation is accomplished. The disclosure jumps from two examples of demographics and number or deliquency accounts directly to a customer categorization. Applicant's is so broad and general that one of ordinary skill in the art would not know what process applicant intended use in order to evaluate the customer.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

Art Unit: 2167

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

4. Claims 1-28, as best understood by the examiner, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Walker et al. (6,088,686)

Walker et al. Shows, figures 1A and 1B, the system and method of the present invention provide on-line processing of applications in real time (single pass, one time data input, means for evaluating), thus providing conditional approvals, pending required verifications. The system has a front-end processing system (blocks 14 and 16) that provides an immediate review of the results of analyzing an applicant's credit bureau history (blocks 28, 30, 32 and 34) (account data, 30, 32, 34 provide virtual attributes) and automated credit scoring. The system and method of the present invention involves the unique processing of a new or existing customer relationship (blocks 18, 20 and 24, virtual attributes) (customer data) into the credit decision request. Via online real-time integration of the many systems (block 52) involved in the process, all of the existing customer's accounts (each of customer's accounts, some can be of the same type) are systematically and automatically reviewed during the application session to determine the aggregate balance amount, which gives rise to the best price being offered to the existing customer 10 (evaluating customer) for the requested credit product. This feature enables the ability to provide new or existing customers (block 10) with an up-front conditional approval based on systematic evaluation of credit bureau history, credit score (virtual attribute), debt burde.) (virtual attribute), credit policies and the customer's relationship (virtual attribute) with the Application/Control Number: 09/216,985

Art Unit: 2167

financial institution, (separate extracts, different data sources, plurality of extracts) subject to required verifications.

Any label for a term is a virtual attribute. For example, credit limit less the balance is equal to the available credit. In this example, the terms "credit limit", "balance" and "available credit" are all virtual terms because the are all attributes with no explicit data value. (See applicant's definition on page 18, lines 11-13 of the specification.) These attributes do represent a series of non-virtual attributes which have explict values. The examiner has indicated many "virtual attributes" through out the sighted reference. The "non-virtual attributes" are inherent as the collection of attributes which make up a "virtual attribute".

A series of tables in the application processing system (ACAPS 26) contains the price points for each product that has multiple price points (iterative function, iterative matrix). The tables also provide the name of the characteristic (such as balance amount, virtual attribute), the break point(s)(virtual attribute) (such as less than \$1500, greater than or equal to \$1500, etc.), and the resulting price(s)(virtual attribute). Other table values within ACAPS 26 determine whether the automated pricing routines should be used or not used (first iterative decision tree, iterative for each new account requested by customer). Assuming the routines are used, ACAPS 26 calls (first iterative function calls second) upon another bank system (block 52), which aggregates all of the customer's balances (second iterative matrix function, iterating through a number of accounts) to obtain the aggregated balance amount to be used in conjunction with the pricing tables to determine the price to be offered to the applicant 10.

Application/Control Number: 09/216,985

Art Unit: 2167

# Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ray et al. shows an evaluation system with customer and account data of interest. George et al. and Musmanno et al., (4,774,663 & 4,597,046) show iterative array calculations to account systems of interest. Walker et al. (6,018,718), Richter, and Shinkai show evaluation systems of interest.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Michael Cuff at telephone number (703) 308-0610.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 746-7239. (After Final special fax number (703) 746-7238)

Michael Cuff

October 01, 2001

laff 10/01/01

Page 5